



A STUDY TO EVALUATE THE CHANGE IN INTERNAL JUGULAR VEIN CROSS SECTIONAL AREA WITH DIFFERENT LEVEL OF POSITIVE END EXPIRATORY PRESSURE

Tarandeep Singh^{1*} and Vartika Vinay²

¹Assistant Professor Department of critical care medicine. Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana, Punjab, India.

²Senior Resident Department of Anaesthesia, Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana, Punjab, India.

ABSTRACT

Central venous catheter (CVC) is commonly placed on medical practice for administering drugs and measuring central venous pressure. In the operative setting, right internal jugular vein (RIJV) has become a popular route because of easy access and frequent exclusion from the surgical field. The success rate for CVC placement correlates with the cross-sectional area (CSA) of the vein and several maneuvers such as the Trendelenburg position, Valsalva maneuver, positive airway pressure hepatic compression, humming a tone and carotid palpation had been used to increase the CSA of the vein. In this study we aimed to evaluate the effect of positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) on CSA of RIJV in adult population and sought to quantify the magnitude of the resultant change.

Key words: Central venous catheter, Right internal jugular vein, Cannulation.

INTRODUCTION

Central venous catheter (CVC) is commonly placed in medical practice for administering drugs and measuring central venous pressure. In the operative setting, right internal jugular vein (RIJV) has become a popular route because of easy access and frequent exclusion from the surgical field [1]. The incidence of mechanical complications can be as high as 19% and is related to the number of needle passes. The most common mechanical complication of internal jugular vein (IJV) cannulation is carotid artery puncture, which infrequently is a serious complication, but is best avoided. Various dynamic maneuvers increase RIJV size and may increase the speed and safety of cannulation by decreasing the number of needle passes [2-7]. The success rate for CVC placement correlates with the cross-sectional area (CSA) of the vein and several maneuvers such as the Trendelenburg position, Valsalva maneuver, positive airway pressure hepatic compression, humming a tone and carotid palpation had been used to increase the CSA of the vein [8-15]. In this

study we evaluated the effect of positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) on CSA of RIJV in adult population and sought to quantify the magnitude of the resultant change. To evaluate the effect of PEEP on CSA of the RIJV to quantify the magnitude of the resultant change.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

This study was conducted in the operation theatres of Dayanand Medical College and Hospital, Ludhiana after getting approval from hospital's ethics committee. Confidentiality and data protection was adhered to as maximum standards. After obtaining written informed consent from the patients, 40 ASA physical status I and II patients undergoing elective surgery under general anaesthesia will be enrolled for the study. Patients served as their own controls for comparison. Standard induction of general anesthesia with propofol 2 to 3 mg/kg IV, fentanyl 0.5 to 1 µg/kg IV, and rocuronium 1 mg/kg IV was done to facilitate endotracheal intubation and were

subsequently mechanically ventilated using volume-control mode with tidal volume 6 to 8 mL/kg, respiratory rate 10 to 12 breaths per minute, inspiratory to expiratory ratio 1:2, PEEP 0 cm H₂O (P0), and maintained with isoflurane in a mixture of nitrous oxide and oxygen.

The operating table was placed in a level position in the transverse and longitudinal planes and the patient positioned with 30° of left head rotation. Five minutes after initiation of mechanical ventilation the RIJV CSA at the level of the cricoid cartilage was calculated using B-mode duplex sonography with a 5- to 13-MHz linear transducer (SONOSITE) using a standardized technique applying minimal probe pressure to obtain an adequate sonographic image. PEEP level 10 cm H₂O was then be applied and,

after 2 minutes of instituting the PEEP, the same investigator subsequently obtain sonographic image of the RIJV at the same location on the neck and calculate CSA. Patients with a history of haematological disease, external neck injury, previous RIJV catheterisation, severe cardiovascular disease, RIJV thrombosis, injection-site infection, pneumothorax or pulmonary bulla will be excluded.

RESULTS

Enrolled patients varied in age from 18 to 70 years, with a mean ±SD age of 50.85±11.59 years. The study included 15men and 25 women. Patient demographics are included in Table 1.

Table 1. Patient demographics

	All Patients	Men	Women
Age	50.85±11.59	50.4±11.62	49.28±10.68

IJVs were easily visualized with ultrasound in all patients. The mean ± SD change in CSA of the RIJV with application of PEEP 10 cm H₂O was 1.71±0.31cm² which was an absolute increase of 0.26±0.25 (P <0.05). The values are included in Table 2

Table 2.

	CSA
PEEP 0	1.45±0.26
PEEP 10	1.71±0.31
Absolute Change	0.26±0.25*
Relative Change (%)	

CSA _ cross-sectional area (cm²); Values are mean _ SD (median). * P _ 0.05

DISCUSSION

Trendelenburg position and Valsalva maneuver are the most common clinical techniques used to increase the IJV CSA for increasing the of CVC cannulation and decrease the associated complications. A number of ultrasound studies have been conducted to determine the CSA of the right internal jugular vein in response to various maneuvers, such as the Trendelenburg and reverse Trendelenburg tilt position with different tilt degrees, Valsalva maneuver, hepatic compression, humming a tone, carotid palpation, needle advancement, and several combinations of maneuvers.

Our study shows that application of PEEP as the sole maneuver increases the size of the RIJV. The extent of increase in CSA is similar to other frequently used techniques in the majority of patients. The CSA area increased by 19 percent on application of 10 cm of PEEP with mean CSA area increasing to 1.71 cm² from 1.45 cm² when no PEEP was applied. Trautner et al demonstrated in

pediatric patients that Trendelenburg position and addition of PEEP (0, 5, and 10 cm H₂O) increased IJV size directly with increasing age [15].

The potential drawbacks to the use of PEEP include patient comorbidities that may be worsened by its use, such as increased intracranial pressure, poor cardiac function, or significant intravascular volume depletion. This method may not be suitable for these subgroup of patients. Hence in ASA grade I and II patients, application of a PEEP of 10 cms of H₂O can help in better localization of the RIJV, as well as may be useful in its cannulation by increasing the CSA.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Nil

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No interest

REFERENCES

1. Defalque RJ. Percutaneous catheterization of the internal jugular vein. *Anesth Analg*, 53, 1974, 116–21
2. Feller KD. Ultrasound-guided internal jugular access. A proposed standardized approach and implications for training and practice. *Chest*, 132, 2007, 302–9

3. Milling TJ, Rose J, Briggs WM, Birkhahn R, Gaeta TJ, Bove JJ, Melniker LA. Randomized, controlled clinical trial of point-of care limited ultrasonography assistance of central venous cannulation: the third sonography outcomes assessment program (SOAP-3) trial. *Crit Care Med*, 33, 2005, 1764–9
4. Belani K, Buckley JJ, Gordon JR, Castaneda W. Percutaneous cervical central venous line placement: a comparison of the internal and external jugular vein routes. *Anesth Analg*, 59, 1980, 40–4
5. Caridi JG, Hawkins IF, Wiechmann BN, Pevarski DJ, Tonkin JC. Sonographic guidance when using the right internal jugular vein for central vein access. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*, 171, 1998, 1259–63.
6. Hayashi H, Amano M. Does ultrasound imaging before puncture facilitate internal jugular vein cannulation? Prospective randomized comparison with landmark-guided puncture in ventilated patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*, 16, 2002, 572–5
7. Randolph AG, Cook DJ, Gonzalez CA, Pribble CG. Ultrasound guidance for placement of central venous catheters: a metaanalysis of the literature. *Crit Care Med*, 24, 1996, 2053–8
8. Samy MS, Sevestre MA, Cagny B, Slama M. Ultrasound evaluation of central veins in the intensive care unit: effects of dynamic manoeuvres. *Intensive Care Med*, 34, 2008, 333–8
9. Botero M, White SE, Younginer JG, Lobato EB. Effects of Trendelenburg position and positive intrathoracic pressure on internal jugular vein cross-sectional area in anesthetized children. *J Clin Anesth*, 13, 2001, 90–3
10. Lobato EB, Florete OG Jr, Paige GB, Morey TE. Cross-sectional area and intravascular pressure of the right internal jugular vein during anesthesia: effects of Trendelenburg position, positive intrathoracic pressure, and hepatic compression. *J Clin Anesth*, 10, 1998, 1–5
11. Lobato EB, Sulek CA, Moody RL, Morey TE. Cross-sectional area of the right and left internal jugular veins. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anest*, 13, 1999, 136–8
12. Furukawa H, Fukuda T, Takahashi S, Miyabe M, Toyooka H. Effect of airway pressure and Trendelenburg position on the cross-sectional area of the internal jugular vein in anesthetized patients [in Japanese]. *Masui*, 53, 2004, 654–8
13. Beddy P, Geoghegan T, Ramesh N, Buckley O, O'Brien J, Colville J, Torreggiani WC. Valsalva and gravitational variability of the internal jugular vein and common femoral vein: ultrasound assessment. *Eur J Radiol*, 58, 2006, 307–9
14. Armstrong PJ, Sutherland R, Scott DH. The effect of position and different manoeuvres on internal jugular vein diameter size. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*, 38, 1994, 229–31
15. Trautner H, Greim CA, Arzet H, Schwemmer U, Roewer N. Ultrasound-guided central venous cannulation in neuropaediatric patients to avoid measures causing potential increase in brain pressure. *Anaesthetist*, 52, 2003, 115–9.